

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/602,777  | 06/25/2003  | Scott A. Moskowitz   | 80391.0003          | 2233             |
| 7650<br>SCOTT A MOSKOWITZ<br>16711 COLLINS AVENUE |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | OKEKE, IZUNNA       |                  |
| #2505<br>MIAMI, FL 33                             | 3160        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   |             |                      | 2432                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 12/17/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

(1) IZUNNA OKEKE.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/602.777 MOSKOWITZ, SCOTT A. Art Unit Examiner IZUNNA OKEKE 2432

(3)SCOTT MOSKOWITZ.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

|  | (2) <u>GILBERTO BARRON</u> . (4)  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.  |  |  |
|  | Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  |  |  |
|  | Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Nadan (US-5142576). |   |  |  |
|  | Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.   |  |  |
|  | Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicant discussed elements of independent claim 1 to exolain how the elements distinguish over the cited prior ar, Nadan (US-5142576). Applicant will file an amendment to address the indicated allowable subject matter, but would also include arguments presented at interview to address the rejection. Examiner will respond accordingly.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLIANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF FOR MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS THENT LANS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |

/I. O./ /Gilberto Barron Jr/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)